Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	10/630,185	DORDI ET AL.	•
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edna Wong	1753	
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_	-
(1) <u>Edna Woṅg</u> .	(3)		
(2) Keith M. Tackett.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 August 2006	Time: <u>4:27 pm</u>	•	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A	pplicant's representative)		٠ ۲,
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		٠	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			Ļ
Claims discussed:			
•			·
Prior art documents discussed:	•		•
			1
Part II.			ζ
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G Confirmed abandonment.	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			,
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of all issues. A brief sun 	 The examiner will provide a writter rate record of the substance of the 	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance:
ı.			
			1.
			ζ,
Same Wang			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	licant/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appr	opriate) /